

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/973,865	10/11/2001	John Polk	6556.0003-03000	3546
22852	7590 12/05/2003		EXAMINER	
	, HENDERSON, FAR	WEISS, JOHN		
LLP 1300 I STREI	ET, NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			3629	

DATE MAILED: 12/05/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 09/973,865

Applicant(s)

Polk

3629

Office Action Summary

Examiner

John Weiss Art Unit

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover she	eet with	the correspondence address		
	for Reply					
	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	3	_ MONTH(S) FROM		
mailing If the p If NO p Failure Any re	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In a g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the distance of	he statutory minimum and will expire SIX (6) he application to becor	of thirty (3 MONTHS forms ABAND	30) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status 1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 21, 20	2003				
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This action	tion is non-final				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex pai</i>					
-	ition of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>127-202</u>			is/are pending in the application.		
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗌	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>127-202</u>			is/are rejected.		
	Claim(s)					
	Claims					
	ation Papers		•			
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗆 accepte	d or b)	\square objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the di	•		•		
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on	-				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	iner.				
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 35	U.S.C.	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) 🗀	a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:					
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been receive	d.			
;	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been receive	d in Apr	plication No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).			
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the					
14) 🗆	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic					
a) ∟ 15) □	and the second s					
15)∟ Attachme	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority unuel s	35 U.S.	.C. §§ 120 and/or 1∠1.		
	nent(s) ptice of References Cited (PTC-892)	4) Interview Sur	mmary (PT	O-413) Paper No(s)		
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					

Application/Control Number: 09/973865 Page 2

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 127-201 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Remington et al (6,070,150) in view of Washington State Final report.

As to claim 127, 135, 143, 144, 145, 154, 163, and 164 Remington teaches a payment processing method and system in Figure 2 and c. 3 and 4, with an individual/biller (42) initiates a consumer (44) to forward disbursement information and payment information (step 2) to a bill paying agency (46). The bill paying agency would then through the payment information process as a debit transaction (c.3, lines 54-55) from the consumer bank (52) to the bill paying bank (48). The bill paying agency would also send the disbursement information(step 5, Opt D) to an intermediary agency (52) that would be responsible for the final disbursement of the payment.

Application/Control Number: 09/975,241

Page 3

Art Unit: 3629

It is noted that Remington failed to teach the use of this payment process specifically used for child support payments, however Washington State reference teaches that the use of Washington State bill processing agency that disburses child support payments to other states/intermediary is old and well known.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the bill payment art to replace the following in Remington to operate the bill paying agency for child support payments:

- 1. Biller (42) with the state/court or paying employee
- 2. Consumer (44) with the employer
- 3. BPSP service unit (46) with accumulator agency
- 4. BPSP Bank (48) with accumulator agency bank
- 5. ACH is the same in both
- 6. Consumer bank (52) is the employers bank
- 7. CT (56) is intermediary states where the final payments to the custodial child are made.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to replace Washington States bill payment processing center (DSC) with the BPSP Service Unit (46) of Remington because it would allow a contacted agency that specializes in the collection and distribution of money to operate as its child support agency under federal law.

Art Unit: 3629

As to claim 128, 136, 146, 155, 165, 174, 183, 184, 185, 193, 201, and 202 Washington teaches on page 12, the notice of deposit of a child support payment to the custodial parent. Also, it is old and well known in the bill paying art to notify the payer/employer if the obligation has been satisfied.

As to claim 129, 130, 137, 138, 147, 148, 156, 157, 172, 173, 181, 182, 191, 192, 199, and 200 Remington teaches that the money can be paid directly to the biller/custodial parent or an intermediary/state for disbursement of the funds.

As to claim 131, 132, 139, 140, 149, 150, 158, 159, 166, 167, 170, 171, 175, 176, 179, 180, 186, 187, 188, 189, 190, 194, 195, 196, 197, 198 Washington teaches on page 4 the use of a Court order obligation with a case number identifier. Also, it is obvious that the parent could go directly to the employer to have his/her obligation paid directly through their employer.

As to claims 133, 134, 141, 142, 151-153, 160-162, 183, 184, 185, 193 it would have been obvious to have the party responsible for starting the payment obligation to terminate the payments after the obligation is satisfied.

Furthermore the combination of Remington and Washington teach the use of FEDI, EFT, EDI in the distribution of information and payment to the proper parties.

Art Unit: 3629

As to claims 168, 169, 177, 178 Washington teaches that the deduction can be performed automatically each month or can be performed upon a specific monthly request.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 3. should be directed to John Weiss whose telephone number is (703) 308-2702.

> JOHN G. WEISS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600**